

Main Street Project Governance Team

July 29, 2014 2:00pm - 3:00pm
Emergency Operations Center Room
Springfield Justice Center

Meeting Notes

Attendees: Mayor Lundberg (COS), Marilee Woodrow (COS), Mike Dubick (LTD), Gary Wildish (LTD), Gino Grimaldi (COS), Ron Kilcoyne (LTD), and Frannie Brindle (ODOT)
Staff: Tom Boyatt (COS), David Reesor (COS), John Evans (LTD)
Consultants: Lynda Wannamaker, Stefano Viggiano, Justin Lanphear, Kari Turner, Brad Swearingen, Peter Coffey, Susan Oldland, Stan Biles, Chris Watchie

I. Review Alternatives Development Overview – John Evans

- Based on input provided by GT members, Staff revised approach to the alternatives development.
- Process will begin with a blank page and develop alternatives based on Stakeholder Advisory Committee's (SAC) ideas and input.
- Service type and lane configurations can be mixed and matched along corridor.

II. Summary of Service Plan Evaluation – Stef Viggiano

Staff directed to:

- Identify who is on the technical team
- Use the term "solutions" rather than "alternatives"

III. Summary of Baseline Report Findings – Lynda Wannamaker

Staff directed to:

- Include auto and freight in terms of overall mobility not just bicycle or pedestrian safety

IV. LTD / Springfield Staff Feedback – Dave Reesor

- GT informed Staff that there has been great deal of conversation about slowing traffic down.
- Staff directed to:
 - Examine speed when evaluating transit options
 - Recognize that change along Main Street is envisioned and captured in Main Street Vision Plan

V. Next Steps – John Evans

- 1) Day after the July 29 SAC meeting #4, the team will interpret committee's input to build preliminary draft solutions for LTD/Springfield staff review.
- 2) GT invited to drop in on 7/30.
- 3) SAC Meeting #5 (8/26) will focus on draft solutions to determine if the technical team interpreted the SAC's input correctly and if there any missing options for the Range of Transit Solutions for screening.
- 4) SAC will make two recommendations to the GT at the August 26 meeting:
 - Range of Transit Solutions to forward onto the screening process

- Problem and Needs Statement and Evaluation Criteria to apply to the Range of Transit Solutions
- 5) At the GT Sept. 4 meeting, discussion will focus on potential approval of SAC recommendations.

Additional comments:

- The Enhanced Bus (EB) and Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) solutions will be high level and not detailed at this stage.
- Property owners may be able to interpret impacts from proposed Range of Transit Solutions.
- At the end of the Study, the analysis will show what solutions best address “pinch points” and potential impacts to the corridor’s property owners.
- Based on the proposed criteria, a solution that has significant property impacts likely would not rate well as compared to other solutions.
- The GT will have two opportunities to review the Problem and Needs Statement and Evaluation Criteria:
 - o Post August 26 SAC meeting
 - o GT Sept. 4th meeting.
- The GT has seen a preliminary draft of the problem statement.
- At the end of the process, the GT will want to know that we’ve done the best that we could and the final solutions are best for the community.
- This will help the decision makers stand behind it.