

September 23, 2014

TO: Main-McVay Transit Study Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC)

FROM: John Evans, LTD
David Reesor, City of Springfield

RE: Main-McVay Transit Study: Governance Team action on Problem Statement, Needs Statement, and Evaluation Criteria

At the August 26, 2014 meeting, the SAC took action to recommend a Problem Statement, Needs Statement, and Evaluation Criteria for the Main-McVay Transit Study. The Governance Team (GT) met on September 4, 2014 to review and take action on the SAC recommendations. The GT approved the Problem Statement, Needs Statement, and Evaluation Criteria as recommended by the SAC, with the following two modifications to the evaluation criteria:

- SAC Recommended Evaluation Criterion for Objective 1.6: *Distribution of transit service and facility improvements relative to concentrations of those minority populations along the Corridor, for which data is readily available.*
- GT Approved Evaluation Criterion for Objective 1.6: *Distribution of transit service and facility improvements that avoid disproportionate impacts on those populations along the Corridor.*

The GT made this change to clarify that the intent of the evaluation is to avoid impacts to those populations.

- SAC Recommended Evaluation Criterion for Objective 3.5: *Impacts to businesses along the Corridor measured in acres of property acquired and parking displacements*
- GT Approved Evaluation Criterion for Objective 3.5: *Impacts to businesses along the Corridor measured in number and total acres of properties acquired, parking displacements, and access impacts.*

The GT added “access” as a possible business impact and clarified the way in which property impacts will be evaluated.

In addition, the GT supported a SAC-recommended modification to the previously-approved Objective 1.6 to add “economic status” to the list of sensitive populations. That objective now reads:

- *Objective 1.6: Enhance equitable transit for users without regard to race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, national origin, marital status, age, disability, or economic status.*